

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRS_128779
Title of the Manuscript:	Jejunal Gastrointestinal Stromal Tumour Presenting with Lower Gastrointestinal Bleeding with Anaemia – A Case Report
Type of the Article	Case report

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript presents a detailed case report on a rare presentation of jejunal gastrointestinal stromal tumors (GISTs), a subject of significant clinical relevance due to its diagnostic and management challenges. By addressing a rare presentation with recurrent lower gastrointestinal bleeding, the study enhances the understanding of jejunal GISTs, their clinical course, and surgical management. The insights provided can guide clinicians in early diagnosis and optimal treatment, improving patient outcomes in similar cases.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The current title, "Jejunal Gastrointestinal Stromal Tumour Presenting with Lower Gastrointestinal Bleeding with Anaemia – A Case Report," is clear and descriptive but could be slightly refined for conciseness and impact. Suggested alternative: <i>"Rare Case of Jejunal Gastrointestinal Stromal Tumor Presenting with Chronic Lower GI Bleeding and Anemia."</i>	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Suggested changes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Add: "The preoperative diagnosis posed significant challenges due to the tumor's location near the duodenojejunal junction." • Conclude with: "Postoperative recovery was uneventful, and the patient was discharged with no recurrence reported on follow-up." 	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript appears scientifically accurate, providing a well-rounded discussion of the clinical, pathological, and surgical aspects of jejunal GISTs. The diagnosis supported by imaging, histopathology, and immunohistochemistry (CD117 positivity) aligns with current standards of care.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are appropriate and include both recent and relevant sources.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is clear and generally suitable for scholarly communication.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jagadish Hansa
Department, University & Country	India