

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRS_125513
Title of the Manuscript:	Grade III ptosis revealing congenital orbital encephalocele
Type of the Article	Case report

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (<i>Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here</i>)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?		
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		
-		

Review Form 3

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Summary</p> <p>The article "<i>Grade III ptosis revealing congenital orbital encephalocele</i>" presents a case study of a rare congenital malformation, highlighting a 48-year-old patient diagnosed with orbital encephalocele after experiencing rapidly worsening ptosis in the right eye. The authors provide a thorough discussion of the clinical, diagnostic, therapeutic, and prognostic aspects of this condition, emphasizing the necessity for surgical intervention and long-term follow-up. This case report contributes valuable insights into an uncommon condition, illustrating its potential impact on ocular function and the importance of timely treatment.</p> <p>Structure and Presentation</p> <p>The article is well-structured and begins with a clear introduction to orbital encephalocele, including its prevalence, associated clinical complications, and diagnostic approaches. The case report itself is detailed and supported by imaging evidence, offering a precise depiction of the patient's condition and the findings of the cranio-orbital MRI.</p> <p>Treatment Approach and Prognosis</p> <p>The authors adequately cover the surgical treatment approach, including the resection of herniated tissue and skull base reconstruction. However, the discussion of factors influencing patient prognosis could be broadened. In particular, while the paper touches on the importance of surgical timing, other critical factors such as patient nutrition and the optimization of micronutrient levels are not explored. Research has indicated that optimized levels of specific micronutrients can positively influence recovery and survival rates in neurological and ocular conditions.</p> <p>Suggested Additions for a Broader Discussion</p> <p>To provide a more comprehensive view, it would be beneficial to expand the discussion on prognosis by exploring the role of micronutrients in supporting recovery and overall outcomes. For instance, incorporating findings from recent studies on the influence of micronutrients on neurological and ocular health could enrich the article's context. Specifically, studies such as those by <i>de la Rubia Orti et al. (2023)</i> on the potential neuroprotective effects of micronutrients in neural tissue recovery (<i>Nutrients</i>; DOI: 10.3390/nu15112611) and <i>Ahmed et al. (2023)</i> on the impact of nutrition on ocular disease outcomes (<i>Biomedicines</i>; DOI: 10.3390/biomedicines12081775) could offer valuable insights.</p> <p>Conclusion</p> <p>Overall, this article provides a significant contribution to the literature on congenital orbital encephalocele and presents the case in a clear and informative manner. However, adding a more in-depth discussion on factors that can potentially improve patient survival and recovery, such as micronutrient optimization, would offer a more holistic perspective on the condition. By referencing the suggested studies, the authors could enhance the understanding of how nutritional and metabolic factors might influence the prognosis of patients undergoing similar treatment.</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Milena Matuszczak
Department, University & Country	Collegium Medicum University of Zielona Gora, Poland