

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Nursing and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRNH_128195
Title of the Manuscript:	A Review On Chandipura Virus: Outbreaks And Emerging Infectious Disease For Children In India
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		

Review Form 3

<p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Abstract</p> <p>The abstract gives a clear description of the Chandipura virus, its symptoms, diagnosis methods and treatment, but the abstract is too detailed, more like an introduction section. Provide quantitative data or specific results from cited studies. Recommendations for Public Health strategies could be made more specific or contextualized.</p> <p>I suggest: the content is summarized with a focus on the most important points (e.g., high mortality rate, demographic impact and prevention strategies).</p> <p>Introduction</p> <p>The narrative lacks a clear research question, repetitive information creates redundancy (e.g. symptoms and outbreaks). Recent data on outbreaks should be presented.</p> <p>Structure the introduction from the general background to the specific focus of the review. Eliminate redundancy and add updated statistics to increase relevance</p> <p>.Infection Outbreak</p> <p>Good and thorough description of previous outbreaks and their geographical spread. Integrates responses from governments and institutions.</p> <p>However, this section is more like a collection of facts without analysis or commentary on the implications. Analyze outbreak frequency patterns and discuss factors that influence these patterns. Highlight gaps in government responses and areas for improvement.</p> <p>Pathogenesis of Infection</p> <p>Mentioned images are not included, thus not supporting visual understanding.</p> <p>Relate pathogenesis insights to clinical or public health interventions.</p> <p>Clinical Symptoms and Features</p> <p>Discuss the diagnostic dilemma caused by overlapping symptoms with other conditions such as dengue fever or Japanese encephalitis</p> <p>Diagnosis of Chandipura Virus Infection</p> <p>This section does not compare the effectiveness or accessibility of diagnosis methods. It does not mention the challenges of implementing these methods in rural areas or with limited resources. I suggest adding a critique of the practicality of the diagnosis technique in endemic areas.</p> <p>Prevention of Chandipura Virus Infection</p> <p>Recommendations lack specifics on interventions that have worked in similar contexts. Include case studies or examples of effective vector control programs.</p> <p>Public Health and Awareness</p> <p>There is overlap with the prevention section and no evaluation of current awareness campaigns or their impact.</p> <p>We recommend combining this section with prevention and include an evaluation of existing public health initiatives.</p>	

Review Form 3

	<p>Chandipura Virus Challenge in India</p> <p>The challenges are stated without discussing the underlying causes or possible solutions. And it has not addressed international perspectives or collaboration.</p> <p>My advice: Provide applicable recommendations for each challenge. And discuss the potential benefits of global partnerships in addressing CHPV.</p> <p>Discussion</p> <p>Discussion is too broad and lacks depth in addressing specific findings or gaps. No integration of insights from referenced studies. Focus on synthesizing findings and propose future research directions.</p> <p>References</p> <p>The references are good because they include recent and relevant studies. However, there are still inconsistencies in citation format. International research representation is limited to similar viruses.</p> <p>Standardize the citation format and add references from global studies for a broader context.</p> <p>Overall, this article is a valuable contribution to the understanding of the Chandipura virus.</p>	
--	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hanung Prasetya
Department, University & Country	Indonesia