

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIMPS_128889
Title of the Manuscript:	Prescription Strategies of Clomiphene Citrate in Male Hypogonadism and Fertility
Type of the Article	Opinion Article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**' provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/> Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript addresses a critical gap in understanding the optimized use of clomiphene citrate, a cornerstone therapy for treating male hypogonadism and improving fertility outcomes. By offering evidence-based insights and practical strategies, the manuscript aims to enhance clinical decision-making, ensuring personalized and effective patient care, So that the manuscript, titled Prescription Strategies of Clomiphene Citrate in Male Hypogonadism and Fertility, holds significant importance for the scientific community.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The current title, *Prescription Strategies of Clomiphene Citrate in Male Hypogonadism and Fertility*, is clear and descriptive, but it could be slightly refined for broader appeal and precision.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<p>Abstract should be:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Ensure the abstract clearly states the purpose of the study or review, such as improving therapeutic strategies or highlighting evidence-based practices.</li> <li>2. Avoid lengthy sentences or unnecessary details; focus on the main takeaways.</li> <li>3. Clearly outline how this work contributes to clinical practice and researches.</li> </ol>	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	<p>Suggestions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Double-check all references and ensure they are from reputable sources.</li> <li>- Ensure all methods are detailed enough to allow replication by other researchers.</li> <li>- Compare the manuscript against guidelines or consensus documents related to male hypogonadism and fertility.</li> </ul>	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	<p>Suggestions for Improvement:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Ensure inclusion of studies or reviews published in high-impact journals within the past 3–5 years.</li> <li>- Include references to current clinical practice guidelines or consensus statements (e.g., Endocrine Society, European Association of Urology).</li> <li>- If there are unsupported claims, identify and add relevant references.</li> </ul>	

**Review Form 3**

<p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p><b>Suggestions for Improvement:</b>                  - If sentences are overly long or convoluted, break them into shorter, more digestible parts.                  - Wherever possible, use the active voice to make sentences more direct and impactful.                  - Remove repetitive phrases or unnecessary details that do not add value.                  - Conduct a thorough review for grammatical accuracy.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p><b>General Comments:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Ensure the introduction provides a clear rationale for the study or review, including the clinical significance of male hypogonadism and fertility challenges.</li> <li>2. Expand the discussion to explore challenges, limitations, or controversies surrounding the use of clomiphene citrate, such as patient adherence, long-term effects, or comparative efficacy with other therapies.</li> <li>3. If applicable, consider adding summary tables, flowcharts, or graphs to present complex data, treatment protocols, or outcomes more effectively.</li> <li>4. Highlight how the findings or recommendations can be applied in clinical settings. Including patient case scenarios or decision-making algorithms may add value.</li> <li>5. Suggest areas for future research, such as larger randomized trials, studies on genetic or hormonal predictors of treatment success, or long-term safety evaluations.</li> </ol>	

**PART 2:**

	<p><b>Reviewer's comment</b></p>	<p><b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Reviewer Details:**

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Talal aldarawsh</p>
<p>Department, University &amp; Country</p>	<p>IRAQ - Al Safer Surgical Hospital, IRAQ</p>