

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIMPS_128889
Title of the Manuscript:	Prescription Strategies of Clomiphene Citrate in Male Hypogonadism and Fertility
Type of the Article	Opinion Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

[Review Form 3](#)

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript discusses the prescription strategies of Clomiphene Citrate (CC) in male hypogonadism and infertility, a topic of substantial relevance given the increasing interest in alternative therapies for androgen deficiency. By emphasizing both monotherapy and combination therapies, the manuscript provides practical insights for clinicians to tailor treatment strategies. Additionally, it highlights the potential benefits of CC in preserving fertility, setting it apart from traditional testosterone replacement therapies. This work fills a critical gap in clinical guidelines and presents evidence-based approaches that could positively impact both research and clinical practice.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The current title, " Prescription Strategies of Clomiphene Citrate in Male Hypogonadism and Fertility ," accurately reflects the manuscript's content. However, to better emphasize its evidence-based approach, the title could be revised as: " Evidence-Based Prescription Strategies for Clomiphene Citrate in Male Hypogonadism and Fertility Management. "	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes. The abstract is comprehensive and well-structured.	
Is the manuscript scientifically correct? Please write here.	<p>The manuscript is scientifically robust and well-supported by evidence from multiple clinical trials and systematic reviews. It clearly describes the mechanisms of action, therapeutic benefits, and practical applications of CC in treating hypogonadism and infertility.</p> <p>Suggested areas for expansion:</p> <p>1.Section: Low Dose Use The author should clarify what constitutes "low dose use." This section only discusses a dose of 12.5 mg daily but does not mention doses of 25–50 mg. However, the discussion references doses of 25–50 mg daily. If 25 mg and 50 mg doses are also considered low doses, the author should include these in the "Low Dose Use" section.</p> <p>2.Section: Clomiphene Citrate và Anastrozole Letrozole, another well-known Aromatase Inhibitor (AI), is highly effective, comparable to Anastrozole. However, there appear to be no studies combining Letrozole with Clomiphene Citrate (CC). The author could propose or suggest further research on this combination after describing the effectiveness of combining Anastrozole with CC.</p> <p>3.Section: CC Combined with hCG The author should provide baseline total testosterone (TT) levels and results after three months of treatment, along with the percentage improvement for each study group. The author aims to emphasize the effectiveness of the combined hCG + CC group; however, the study mentions: 'mean serum testosterone levels increased to 5.48 nmol/L in the CC group, 5.31 nmol/L in the combined hCG + CC group,' which may lead to the misunderstanding that the CC-only group is more effective than the combined hCG + CC group."</p>	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes. The references are adequate and up-to-date, including studies from 2023 and 2024.	

Review Form 3

<p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes. The language is clear and suitable for academic communication.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1. The manuscript effectively addresses a relevant and important topic, providing valuable insights into the role of Clomiphene Citrate (CC) in male hypogonadism and infertility, with a clear focus on fertility preservation.</p> <p>2. The title of the article is appropriate. However, the author may consider revising the title to emphasize an evidence-based approach, which could better attract the target audience.</p> <p>3. The abstract is comprehensive.</p> <p>4. The manuscript is scientifically robust, supported by evidence from multiple clinical trials and systematic reviews. Suggested areas for improvement include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The author should further clarify the content of "Low Dose Use." This section only mentions a 12.5 mg daily dose. If 25 mg and 50 mg doses are also considered low doses, the author should include these. - Clomiphene Citrate and Anastrozole: Consider proposing future studies combining Letrozole with CC after discussing the efficacy of the Anastrozole-CC combination. - CC Combined with hCG: Provide baseline testosterone levels, results after three months, and percentage improvements for each study group to better highlight the effectiveness of the combined hCG + CC group. Address potential confusion arising from the reported testosterone levels that may suggest higher efficacy for the CC-only group. <p>5. The references are appropriate, complete, and up-to-date.</p> <p>6. The language is clear and suitable for academic communication.</p> <p>7. The study meets ethical standards, with no detected plagiarism or conflicts of interest.</p> <p>Overall, the manuscript is a valuable contribution, and with these improvements, it could significantly impact both clinical practice and research in this field.</p>	

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Manh Nguyen Truong</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Ho Chi Minh City Medicine and Pharmacy University, Vietnam</p>