

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIMPS_128415
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSING KNOWLEDGE, ATTITUDE, AND PRACTICES OF EARLY-CAREER PHARMACISTS' REGARDING OPIOID USE DISORDER AND MENTAL HEALTH CO-MORBIDITIES: PREDICTING PATIENT HEALTH OUTCOMES IN NIGERIA
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The contribution to knowledge particularly emphasizes the pivotal role that pharmacists undertake in the management and prevention of opioid use disorder. By fostering improved knowledge and attitudes among pharmacists, it is possible to mitigate the stigma associated with mental health issues, thereby enhancing treatment adherence and ultimately leading to better health outcomes.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	<b>The title can be corrected as</b> <b>Evaluating the Knowledge, attitudes and practises of early career pharmacists concerning Opioids use Disorder (OUD) and Co-morbid mental health conditions: Forecasting patients health outcomes in Nigeria</b>	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	<b>The abstract is good but the aim of the study should be added to give the manuscript a direction to whoever that reads it. So I suggest it reads like this</b>  This study aims to evaluate the understanding, perceptions, and practices of early-career pharmacists concerning opioid use disorder and its associated mental health comorbidities, with a focus on predicting health outcomes for patients in Nigeria. The research seeks to identify the level of knowledge that these pharmacists possess about opioid-related issues and the mental health challenges that often accompany them. By examining their attitudes and practices, the study intends to uncover potential gaps in education and training that may affect the quality of care provided to patients suffering from these interconnected health conditions	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	Yes its scientifically correct. See my comment on its importance in the scientific community	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	The references are quite few, more should be added. References are presented not in detail. Below is how to reference an author with its full detail  Mental Health Care by Dr. Lauren Blum <i>et al</i> , (2022)  Gugala E, Briggs O, Moczygemba LR, et al (2022): <i>Opioid harm reduction: a scoping review of physician and system-level gaps in knowledge, education, and practice. Substance Abuse</i> 2022;43: 972–87. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1080/08897077.2022.2060423">doi:10.1080/08897077.2022.2060423</a>	
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The English is scholarly and scientific	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The article presents a compelling discussion due to its relevance to both the scientific community and the broader context of patient management. In the abstract's conclusion, it is crucial for the author to highlight the persistence of negative health outcomes among patients, assessing whether desired results are being achieved and identifying any gaps as indicated in the study's aims. The introduction should also provide insights into the prevalence of Opioid Use Disorder (OUD) in Nigeria, as this case study warrants comparison with global data. Attention to detail in referencing and adherence to the correct citation style is imperative. Furthermore, a careful examination of spelling, word choice, and punctuation is recommended.	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Chekwube Nkemjika Ifeadi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>National Agency for Food and Drug Administration and Control, Nigeria</b>