

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_128742
Title of the Manuscript:	Responsible Data Scientist: A Paradigm Shift Beyond the "Sexiest Job"
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript addresses a critical shift in the field of data science, emphasizing the importance of ethics, transparency, and responsibility over mere technical proficiency. It provides a comprehensive framework for cultivating responsible data scientists, which is vital in a world increasingly dependent on data-driven decisions. By integrating practical guidelines, ethical considerations, and policy recommendations, this work contributes significantly to fostering accountability and trust in the data science community. The manuscript is a timely and impactful resource for researchers, educators, and industry professionals alike.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is suitable as it highlights the paradigm shift from the popular perception of data science as the "sexiest job" to a more responsibility-focused narrative. However, an alternative title could be: "Shaping Responsible Data Scientists: Ethics and Governance Beyond Technical Skills"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is informative but could benefit from the inclusion of specific examples or key highlights from the manuscript, such as the criteria for identifying responsible data scientists or the proposed framework. Adding these details will enhance the clarity and completeness of the abstract.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct and well-structured. The arguments are supported by relevant literature and logical reasoning, and the proposed framework aligns with current trends in ethical AI and responsible data governance.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are adequate and include recent sources, but incorporating a few additional citations related to responsible AI and data science ethics could further strengthen the manuscript.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is clear and professional, with only minor grammatical errors and inconsistencies. A final proofreading pass is recommended to ensure consistency and polish.	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript is a valuable contribution to the ongoing discourse on responsible data science. The use of tables to summarize key points (e.g., characteristics of responsible vs. irresponsible data scientists) is effective. Future iterations could benefit from including practical case studies or real-world examples to illustrate the proposed framework. Overall, this is an excellent manuscript that advances the understanding of ethics and governance in data science.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Janifer Nahar
Department, University & Country	Louisiana State University, United States of America