

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_128537
Title of the Manuscript:	Renewable Energy in Agriculture: Enhancing Aquaculture and Post-Harvest Technologies with Solar and AI Integration
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript touches on a very relevant and timely topic—the role of generative AI in transforming retail. It's exciting to see how the paper addresses customer experience and operational efficiency, which are critical for retailers in today's fast-changing digital landscape. The research provides valuable insights and practical applications, which will significantly interest academics and practitioners.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The current title is good, but perhaps a slight tweak could make it even more engaging. Something like "Transforming Retail with Generative AI: Innovations in Customer Experience and Efficiency" might better capture the reader's attention while keeping the focus intact.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract covers the main points well, but it might benefit from a little extra polish. For example, adding a line about the future implications of this research could make it more impactful. It would also help to emphasize what's unique about the findings.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Overall, the paper is scientifically robust and well-supported by evidence. However, I felt that some of the statistical claims, like those related to revenue growth, could use more explanation or detail to strengthen their credibility.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are relevant and up-to-date, which is great to see. That said, adding a couple more studies focusing on SMEs could provide a more rounded perspective, especially since smaller retailers often have different challenges with generative AI.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The writing is clear and professional, but a few minor tweaks could make it even smoother. For instance, simplifying some of the longer sentences might improve readability for a broader audience.	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> It might be interesting to include a brief discussion on the ethical implications of using generative AI in retail, especially around customer data and personalization. Adding a real-world case study or two could make the findings more relatable and actionable for readers. Add a sentence in the abstract about the unique contribution of this research and its future implications. Provide a bit more detail for the statistics on revenue growth to enhance credibility. Consider including more references related to SMEs for a well-rounded perspective. Include a short discussion on potential ethical concerns with generative AI in retail. If possible, add a practical case study to bring the findings to life for readers. Most of the suggestions are to add clarity and depth, rather than to fix any major issues. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rapeerat Thanyawatpornkul
Department, University & Country	Silpakorn University, Thailand