

### Review Form 3

|                          |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Agriculture and Forestry</a>                                     |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_AJRAF_123887   |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Challenges and Advances in Managing of Fusarium Head Blight in Wheat: Insights from Ethiopian Agriculture |
| Type of the Article      | Review  |

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Review Comments

| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>  | <b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| <b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>                        | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Introduction provides a comprehensive overview of Fusarium Head Blight (FHB) in wheat, highlighting its significant impact on global food security. Write in brief about crop production and yield loss.</li> <li>2. The description of current management strategies is informative, but it would be beneficial to delve deeper into the limitations of these approaches and how they can be improved.</li> <li>3. The emphasis on the need for integrated management approaches to combat FHB effectively is crucial and should be further emphasized throughout the manuscript.</li> <li>4. Overall, the manuscript provides valuable insights into the management of FHB in Ethiopian agriculture, but additional in-depth analysis and exploration of innovative solutions would enhance its impact.</li> </ol> |   |
| <b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>  | It could be more catchy like - "Navigating the Complexities of Fusarium Head Blight in Wheat: Insight from Ethiopian Agriculture".   |   |
| <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>   | Brief explanation is required, lack of knowledge related to production and loss due to fungal contamination and mycotoxin production.  |   |
| <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  | Yes  |   |
| <b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b> | Lack of brief discussion with proper finding, please add suitable figure and table to enhance the quality and impact of text.<br>Please focus on mycotoxin contamination write in brief about this.  |   |
| <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>   | References are ok.   |   |
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION comments   |  |   |
| <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>   | There is lack of lavish English language.  |   |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments   |  |   |

#### PART 2:

|   | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>  | <b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|--|
| <b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b> | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |  |

#### Reviewer Details:

|                                  |   |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name:                            | <b>Mansi Dwivedi</b>                                    |
| Department, University & Country | <b>Deen Dayal Upadhyaya Gorakhpur University, India</b> |