

Review Form 3

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Pediatric Research |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJPR_128303 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | STUDY OF BLOOD LACTATE LEVELS AT ADMISSION AS A PREDICTOR OF MORTALITY AND MORBIDITY IN CRITICALLY ILL CHILDREN IN A TERTIARY CARE PEDIATRIC INTENSIVE CARE UNIT. |
| Type of the Article | ORIGINAL RESEARCH ARTICLE |

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|--|---|---|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | The topic is important for evaluation, prognostication, management and follow up of critically ill patients. This manuscript can be of interest to physicians and hospital staff working in different hospital areas and specialities (Emergency department, intensive care, surgery, medicine, etc. ,,...) | |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | Yes | |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | The Aim/ or objective of the study is missing in the abstract. I suggest the addition of aim (or objective) subheading in the abstract. | |
| Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here. | The author stated that age of patients ranged between one month and 18 years, however; in the results section the author stated that the mean age of the female group was 47.65 years and male group was 35.97years. This is mathematically impossible. This is a major and a very serious error. How could the average age of children be 47.65 years??????? | |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. | Yes | |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | Yes | |
| <u>Optional/General</u> comments | The authors should include the aim (objective) of the study in the abstract. The results do not match with the title and the context of the study. | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|--|---|--|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <u><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></u> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Name: | Ashraf Fadel Moh'd |
| Department, University & Country | Queen Alia Heart Institute, Jordan |