

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOB_128550
Title of the Manuscript:	The Role of the Vaginal Microbiome in Women's Reproductive Health in India
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study of vaginal microbiome and its importance in reproductive health of the women is increasingly gaining recognition in the scientific community. Understanding the vaginal microbiome and its role is essential for several reasons, particularly in the context of public health in developing countries like India. Here's why this topic is important in the scientific society:	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	I think the topic of the article is not suitable. Suggestion: "The Role of the vaginal Microbiome in Women's reproductive Health."	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Aim of this Manuscript does not reflect in the abstract. Why author choose this title.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, the Manuscript is scientifically correct.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are not sufficient. No reference is given for important definitions. All the references are not present in the manuscript	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shameem Ahmed Rather
Department, University & Country	Regional Research Institute of Unani Medicine, India