

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_129156
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Patient Satisfaction in the Out Patient Department of a Healthcare Centre in Rural India- A Cross Sectional Study.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<i>This study is not scientific as such due to the lack of scientific tools, the small number of items included in the questionnaire, and the lack of checking of the validity of the items included in the questionnaire. Various tests like Cronbach's alpha, Factor analysis, chi-square, etc., could be applied to make it more scientific.</i>	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	<i>Should "in rural Bihar (India)" rather than India</i>	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<i>Dimensions and methods used to analyse the data or various dimensions are missing, Reframe your abstract to make it more effective and comprehensive</i>	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	<i>Whatever is covered in the study is correct but not scientific as mentioned above.</i>	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	<i>Relook the reference</i>	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<i>yes</i>	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<i>Try to make it scientifically string before reaching the readers.</i>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

<u>Name:</u>	<u>Dalbir Singh</u>
<u>Department, University & Country</u>	<u>to Kurukshetra University, India</u>