

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_128803
Title of the Manuscript:	The Regulation of the Mesenchymal Stem Cells Derived Exosome via PTEN and P13K/Akt Pathway in Remodeling Soft Tissue Damage Following A Wide Excision of Fibrosarcoma : A Literature Review
Type of the Article	Short communication

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The therapeutic potential of exosomes produced from mesenchymal stem cells for regenerative medicine application for remodeling soft tissue damage is highlighted in this publication, which is significant for the scientific community. The use of extracellular vesicles (EVs) derived from MSCs is gaining increasing attention. MSCs release EVs such as exosomes and microvesicles, which mediate intercellular communication and mirror many of the therapeutic effects of MSCs themselves. Compared to cell-based treatments, EVs avoid risks like tumor formation. Mesenchymal stem cell-derived exosomes have emerged as a promising cell-free therapy for tissue engineering.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, but the 2 figures in this article lack the precise scientific citation. The figures must contain the source with important details. For example; Figure 1. General scheme for the isolation and expansion of MSCs for clinical applications (Source: Rehman et al., 2023) [3]	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes the references are sufficient and recent. However, references 7 and 8 are not mentioned in the text of the paper. The identical Ref. 3 is also contained in Ref. 7 in the references.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Laila Mahmoud Montaser
Department, University & Country	Menoufia University, Egypt