

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Medicine and Health</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_127884
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysis of 3 Financial Models in the Health System: (Pay-for-performance, Results-Based-Budgeting, Capitation)
Type of the Article	Review Article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p><b>Technical comments</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1.Results-Based Budgeting (RBB) requires elaborate on how outcomes are measured and address concerns about potential biases in evaluating results.</li> <li>2.Capitation mechanism highlight more examples from countries where it has succeeded or faced challenges.</li> <li>3.Table Presentation consider enhancing the table with visual aids, such as color coding or icons, to emphasize key differences.</li> </ol> <p><b>General commets</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1.Clarity in Formatting use headings and subheadings consistently to improve readability. For example, distinguish clearly between benefits and challenges within each model. Break long paragraphs into shorter ones for better</li> <li>2. Address Overlaps and Repetition</li> <li>3. Provide a concluding section summarizing the practical policy implications of these findings. For example, recommend which model works best in specific healthcare systems or under varying resource constraints.</li> </ol>	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Review Form 3

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Naga Jyothi P
Department, University & Country	GITAM School of Technology, Gitam University, India