

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Language, Literature and Culture Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJL2C_128618
Title of the Manuscript:	Gestalt iconicity in Ekegusii adjective vowel lengthening
Type of the Article	Language/Linguistics

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is of paramount importance in the field of linguistics. It studies a minority language scientifically using advanced technical software. It has an importance of assisting young linguistic scholars particularly phoneticians and phonologists in conducting scientific research and analysis of a human language using technological apparatus.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes. It only needs to attend some minor edits made on it.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive, but needs to mention the data source as it was mentioned in the main body of the manuscript. In other words, there's a need of mentioning the data collection procedure, that's how the data is collected.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here. [yes]	Absolutely correct.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes. The reference are sufficient and some of them are recent.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The manuscript is perfectly written and it helps in documenting and safeguarding some endangered languages in Africa. No plagiarism is suspected.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Almustapha Wali Sambo
Department, University & Country	National Institute for Nigerian Languages, Nigeria