

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_127770
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Differentiated Assessment Strategies: An Assessment Practice for Diverse Learners in the Inclusive Classroom</b>
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 1: Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	<b>Follow the structure of research article. Provide the objectives/problems of this study. Make sure that methodology are present where methods, sampling technique, sample size, data collection and ethical considerations are present.</b>	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	<b>The title is suitable however, the author could not establish its research objectives or problems</b>	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	<b>Abstract is not comprehensive and does not conform to the structure in making an abstract</b>	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	The manuscript lacks some of the contents/structure of research article such as research problems/objectives/objectives, and research methodology	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	References are outdated. The author must update the references and follow the IPA 7th edition format. It is best if the references would come from highly indexing bodies such as Scopus and Web of Science journals	
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	English language is very suitable, clear and appropriate to the readers and other researchers	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Fahad A. Salendab</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Sultan Kudarat State University, Philippines</b>