

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_127307
Title of the Manuscript:	Profile of Numeracy Literacy Ability and Its Correlation to Self-Efficacy of Students of Integrated Islamic Elementary School Nurul Ilmi Jambi
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study under consideration is relevant to the scientific community as numeracy literacy is fundamental in our today world.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title could be modified to as, Profile of Numeracy Literacy Ability and self-efficacy of Students in mathematics education. The word correlation is not necessary since it is a descriptive research design.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract should not exceed 250 words. The items in the abstract should be purpose of the study, design of the study, population, sample, research question, instruments, statistical tools, findings/results and recommendations.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is scientifically correct.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are recent but some of them in the body of the work and on the reference list are not in line with APA 6 th / 7 th style of writing and citation. Is Rahmad Prejo 2018 in the body the same as Salim & Rahmad Prejo 2018 on the reference list?	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The number 4 keyword should be replaced and one more additional keyword to make 5. The sample size is small for the study. The population is not much (268) and the sample used is about 11% which is small to represent the population. About 30% would have been a good sample size.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Blessing Chinyere Cleopas
Department, University & Country	Federal University Otuoke, Nigeria