

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_125534
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment Of Objectivity Levels Of News Reportage Via Social Media Platforms
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	Despite the fact that social media quickly disseminates news, regardless of whether it is objective or not. The objectiveness of social media news reporting was the focus of this manuscript. consequently, it plays a significant role in the scientific community because of investigation of news stories that are shared with the general public via social media,	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	Title of this article is suitable and it is also suggested if it make simple and attractive like this one. "Assessment of Objectivity levels of News Reporting through the use of Social Media Platforms"	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	The Abstract is comprehensive but found some grammatical mistakes in sentences and it is suggested to correct it to make the abstract attractive. These are minor mistakes like: <b>The journalist must report only the facts and not a personal attitude toward the facts. Objectivity in journalism aims to help the audience make up their own mind about a story, providing the facts alone and then letting audiences interpret those on their own.</b> <i>(The journalist must only report the facts, not an opinion about the facts. Objectivity in journalism aims to assist readers in making their own decisions regarding a story and by presenting the facts alone and allowing readers to interpret those facts on their own).</i> <b>The survey research design was adopted as the research methodology</b> (The survey research design was used as the research methodology)	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	Yes	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	Through its rigorous methodology, comprehensive literature review, and clear theory articulation, the manuscript demonstrates scientific robustness. The author conducts in-depth research and analysis that are reliable and guarantee to the outcomes  In addition, the findings are contextualized within the larger field of study, highlighting their significance and recommendations. Overall, the technical soundness of this research is highlighted by the combination of a solid theoretical framework and soundness of this research	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	Yes, it is sufficient	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes, it is suitable for scholarly communications	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

#### PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Review Form 3

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Hamid Ullah Khan</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Pakistan</b>