

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_128842
Title of the Manuscript:	Measurement of the Level of New Quality Productivity in China and Analysis of Regional Differences
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<p>Improving regional competitiveness: By analyzing the strengths and weaknesses of different regions, the study can provide insights for regional policymakers to improve their competitiveness and attractiveness to businesses, talent, and investment.</p> <p>Informing education and training initiatives: The study's findings on the importance of innovation-driven growth and high-quality development in the Eastern region can inform education and training initiatives that focus on developing skills and talents that are relevant to the needs of the economy.</p> <p>Contributing to regional development theories: The research can contribute to the development of regional development theories by providing empirical evidence on the dynamics of new quality productive forces in different regions. This can help scholars and policymakers better understand the complex relationships between economic growth, innovation, and regional development.</p> <p>Identifying opportunities for investment and growth: The study's identification of provinces with promising development potential, such as Sichuan and Chongqing, can attract investors and entrepreneurs who are looking for opportunities in emerging regions.</p>	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<p>Clear structure: The abstract has a well-organized structure, starting with an introduction to the research, explaining the methodology, and then presenting the findings and conclusions.</p> <p>Findings and implications: The abstract presents clear findings and implications, highlighting regional disparities, differentiated evolutionary paths, and policy recommendations.</p> <p>Too technical: The abstract may be too technical for non-experts, with jargon such as "entropy method" and "kernel density estimation." It would be helpful to provide a brief explanation or glossary for these terms.</p> <p>Lack of context: The abstract assumes that readers are familiar with the concept of "new quality productive forces." It would be helpful to provide a brief definition or explanation to set the context for the research.</p>	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	<p>The manuscript shows a clear research question, a well-defined methodology, data-drive approach and regional analysis. However, there are some aspects that need improvement such as;</p> <p>Assumptions and limitations: The manuscript does not mention any assumptions or limitations of the study. It would be helpful to acknowledge any limitations of the data, methodology, or analysis to ensure transparency and scientific correctness.</p> <p>By addressing these aspects, the authors can increase the scientific correctness of the manuscript and improve its credibility and reliability.</p>	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are sufficient for the article	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	N/A	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Malingaka Maoeng
Department, University & Country	University of Johannesburg, Lesotho