

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_128256
Title of the Manuscript:	EXPLORING MOONLIGHTING MOTIVATIONS AND ORGANIZATIONAL DYNAMICS AMONG INDIAN IT PROFESSIONALS
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This study focused on the moonlighting intentions of the IT professionals. This paper is technically enough sound to be accepted in Journal.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title of the manuscript is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of article needed more comprehensive in methodological part like scale of factures measurement.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	This paper is technically enough sound to be accepted in Journal.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	There is a good no. of reference but it should arrange in proper format like page no. volume no , issues etc.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
Optional/General comments	This article is put for minor revision category.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Geeta Kumari
Department, University & Country	Malla Reddy College of Engineering and Technology, India