

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_128197
Title of the Manuscript:	An Empirical Study on Macroeconomic Determinants of Ghana's Bond Market Performance
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Macroeconomic environment in relation to investment is an important area for the scientific community. This study is quite important for investors as its findings can inform a more careful investment decision, particularly during macroeconomic instability. Data analysis was also thorough. I like the uniqueness of the study.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the topic is appropriate.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, the abstract is quite comprehensive, as it summarizes the research objective, methodology, and findings. However, the recommendation is missing. Recommendation should be reviewed to include what the financial system regulators should do to stabilize the macroeconomic environment, thereby enhancing return on investment.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, this manuscript is scientifically and technically correct, robust and sound. The author put in a lot of work into this manuscript.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, the references are sufficient and recent	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications	
Optional/General comments	Good job	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Stephen Alaba John
Department, University & Country	Kwara State University, Nigeria