

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_128197
Title of the Manuscript:	An Empirical Study on Macroeconomic Determinants of Ghana's Bond Market Performance
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This article is relevance to Ghana's financial market, as its findings will aid the policy makers in Ghana to know the factors/ variables that could enhance/deter the growth of the Ghana's bond market.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	YES	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Where results are stated in the abstract there should be an explicit report as to what happens to "exchange rate". For instance " exchange rate was proven to have had either positive /negative relationship with the bond market".	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, given that it follows a systematic process of research.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are adequate and recent, but the APA referencing style is not adhered to in the reference list, as in reference list no. 8, there the name of the journal is not in italics.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ofonime Moses Akpan
Department, University & Country	Akwa Ibom State College of Education, Nigeria