

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_128197
Title of the Manuscript:	An Empirical Study on Macroeconomic Determinants of Ghana's Bond Market Performance
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript provides valuable insights into macroeconomic determinants affecting Ghana's bond market. It addresses an underexplored yet crucial area in financial market studies, offering both short- and long-term perspectives. The empirical findings contribute to the literature on emerging economies, making it particularly relevant for policymakers and academics in finance and development economics.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is clear and accurately reflects the study's scope. Alternative Title Suggestion: "Macroeconomic Determinants and Their Impact on Ghana's Bond Market Performance: An Empirical Analysis."	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive, summarizing the study's objectives, methodology, and findings effectively. However, including the study's policy implications would enhance its appeal to a broader audience.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is scientifically sound, employing robust econometric techniques like Johansen cointegration and VECM. The interpretation of results aligns with the data and models used.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are adequate and include recent and relevant works. However, adding more studies on bond market dynamics in other African nations could provide comparative insights.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is scholarly and suitable for academic communication, though minor grammatical revisions could enhance readability.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The manuscript would benefit from a clearer explanation of policy recommendations in light of the findings, especially concerning exchange rate management.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Girish Wali
Department, University & Country	USA