

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_127030
Title of the Manuscript:	Determinants of Human Development Index (HDI): A Regression Analysis of Economic and Social Indicators
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	HDI is a standard way to compare different countries and regions, which is very helpful for foreign studies and research that looks at different cultures. It can also be used as a standard to measure how well policies are working to improve human growth. It also shows that social progress and people's well-being are more important than economic growth. HDI is also used to measure health, schooling, and economic growth, so it is important to the scientific and study community.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title suitable, indicated clearly the dependent, and independent variables. However, research deals with "cause and effect" and therefore the independent variable (causes) should have come before the dependent variable (effect)	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is informative, and accurately reflects the content and focus of the article, meanwhile kindly include the methodology or estimation technique, policy implication(s) and, suggested areas for future research. Also indicate the scope of the study	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Affirmative	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and	The Human Development Index (HDI) is a reliable and accurate way to measure development because it takes into account many aspects of a person's well-being, such as health, education, and income, all in one simple number. This gives a more complete picture of development than just economic success. Life expectancy, mean years of schooling, and gross national income are some of the reliable and generally accepted indicators that are used to calculate the HDI. These indicators are based on standardized data sources and methods that make sure they are consistent and easy to compare across countries. HDI	

Review Form 3

<p>technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>also uses normalization techniques for each part, which reduces the effect of different data sets and lets you make useful comparisons over time and between areas. Because of these things, HDI is a reliable way to measure human growth and help policymakers make choices that will improve people's lives around the world.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>References are current and relevant to the topic, reference list is comprehensive as well as the in text citations</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Academic language and, unbiased commentary is used</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Introduction: The introduction is clear and, concise, however, the author should highlight the research problem, and indicate gaps in existing literature. Also provide sufficient context to help readers understand the significance of the study.</p> <p>Literature Review: There was no clear literature review outlining the theoretical underpinning of the research work and, relevant and recent literature of the subject matter. Also present the literature review in a logical flow to show clear progression of ideas, and effectively highlight gaps that the study aims to fill.</p> <p>Methodology: Variables measurements and estimation technique adopted are excellent, the research model is also quite fitting. The researcher may provide justification for the use of the estimation and, sampling techniques.</p>	

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Nicholas Bamegne Nambie</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Valley View University-Ghana, Ghana</p>