

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_126378
Title of the Manuscript:	NEXUS BETWEEN AGRICULTURAL INVESTMENTS, INFLATION AND INTEREST RATES IN NIGERIA (1981 – 2020)
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript should have been more important if the author (s) has considered running regression. This would have thrown more light into the title of the manuscript.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No. There is no Vector Autoregression analysis throughout the entire manuscript. The author only used pairwise granger causality. Therefore, it is expected the author only report what was done. Also, the author should reexamine some sentence structure.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study however should be considered scientific correctness if the author conducts regression analysis. Pairwise granger causality alone is not sufficient in examining the nexus between agricultural investment, inflation rate and interest rate in Nigeria,	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	No. the author fails to cite correctly using APA 7 <sup>th</sup> edition referencing style. Also, the references are not APA 7 <sup>th</sup> compliance. The empirical reviews were to give more backgrounds on the study. But, the author fails to review current articles.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The author fails to conduct regression analysis. Thus, the unit root test reported is of no important</li> <li>2. Vector Autoregression stated in the abstract was not carried out in the study. However, if the author wishes to conduct a regression analysis, ARDL is the appropriate estimation technique.</li> <li>3. The scope of the study should be extended to at least 2022 because 2022 data are available.</li> <li>4. The author should learn how to use APA 7<sup>th</sup> edition in both citation and references.</li> <li>5. Recent articles should be reviewed and reported in the empirical review section.</li> <li>6. There is need for theoretical framework.</li> <li>7. Recommendations should be according to the findings and not a general recommendation.</li> </ol>	

**PART 2**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

<b>Name:</b>	<b>Okereke,</b>
<b>Department, University &amp; Country</b>	<b>Babcock University.Nigeria</b>

Review Form 3