

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_128945
Title of the Manuscript:	Large intra-articular synovial lipoma of the knee: A case report and review of literature
Type of the Article	Case report

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	<p>In the differential diagnosis, two different clinics were mentioned, but it was not mentioned whether there could be an intra-articular malignant mass. The mass presented in the case is quite large, how was the differentiation of malignancy planned preoperatively? Was a biopsy performed.</p> <p>'Range of motion improved from pre-operative levels, but terminal 20 degrees of flexion was restricted. No recurrence noted 11/2 years after surgery.' Why is limitation of movement left after surgery? It might be good to mention it in the discussion section.</p> <p>A 1.5-year follow-up of a large lesion is mentioned, how long had this mass been in this location before and why did the patient not come? It should be added to the case presentation.</p> <p>Treatment options and modalities of intraarticular masses should be discussed. Why it is not performed arthroscopically or whether it has been performed in the cases given in the literature should be added to the treatment and discussed.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Huseyin Utku Ozdes
Department, University & Country	Inonu University, Turkiye