

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_128410
Title of the Manuscript:	Small bowel volvulus complicating a huge mesenteric cystic lymphangioma in a 3-year-old child
Type of the Article	Case report

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript describes a rare case of mesenteric cystic lymphangioma and small bowel volvulus association. However whether the volvulus was caused by the lymphangioma or by malrotation (with narrow common mesentery) is under question, since there was a whirlpool sign with the superior mesenteric artery in the center. Therefore the author must be cautious about that.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is not suitable. The volvulus in this case might not a complication of lymphangioma	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The diagnosis of malrotation should be suspected	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is not well scientifically correct. Whether the volvulus was caused by the lymphangioma or by malrotation (with narrow common mesentery) is under question, since there was a whirlpool sign with the superior mesenteric artery in the center. Therefore the author must be cautious about that.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References about the intestinal malrotation and its complications should be added	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tran Ngoc Son
Department, University & Country	Saint Paul Hospital, Vietnam