

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_129171
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Performance of Thirteen Grain Corn Commercial Hybrid Varieties in the Serdang Environment
Type of the Article	Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of „**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The subject of the study presented by the authors is interesting and topical, with high scientific and practical importance.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article is appropriate, in relation to the topic addressed.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The article Abstract is comprehensive and the keywords are representative of the article topic.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate, in accordance with the General Guideline for Authors, Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The scientific literature, to which the reporting was made, is representative in the field. However, the Introduction could have been more consistent, with more bibliographical sources in the field of study.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English language/quality of the article is suitable for academic communications, and the article is accessible to readers.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>The introduction is presented appropriately, in accordance with the subject of the study. Numerous scientific articles have been consulted. However, the Introduction could have been more consistent, with more bibliographical sources in the field of study.</p> <p>Methodology of the study was clearly presented, and appropriate to the proposed objectives.</p> <p>The obtained results are important, and have been analyzed and interpreted correctly, in accordance with the current methodology.</p> <p>The discussions are appropriate, in the context of the obtained results. The results were analyzed and discussed compared to other bibliographic sources in the field.</p> <p>It is recommended that equations be written with the Equation Editor in Word. Also, the numbering of the equations is appropriate.</p> <p>Table 3 is outside the page settings. Revision is recommended.</p> <p>It is recommended to check the data in Table 3.</p> <p>It is also recommended to check the data in the content of the article, in the presentation that follows Table 3.</p> <p>Table 6 is outside the page settings. Revision is recommended.</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Florin SALA
Department, University & Country	University of Life Sciences "King Mihai I" from, Romania