

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_129171
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Performance of Thirteen Grain Corn Commercial Hybrid Varieties in the Serdang Environment
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Corn grain has a protein matrix in its endosperm that protects the starch granules. Hybrids of flint origin have greater proportion of vitreous endosperm in the kernel making the starch harder to degrade because it is protected by a thick protein matrix that hinders access of ruminal bacteria to the site of digestion.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Very suitable article. Or can be written as : Evaluation of Moth bean under rainfed condition through yield and economic analysis	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	I suggest adding some of results	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript scientifically, correct.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	the references sufficient and recent except that Lobell, D. B., Schlenker, W. and Costa-Roberts, J. (2011). Climate trends and global crop production since 1980. Science, 333, 616-620.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Good language	
Optional/General comments	Not presnt	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ahmed El Sayed Ahmed Maaty
Department, University & Country	Benha University, Egypt