

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Food Science Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_129473
Title of the Manuscript:	The Characteristics of Sponge Cake with Liquid Egg Yolk to Improve Texture and Physicochemistry
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

#### **PART 1: Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	This article provides important information regarding the characteristics of sponge cake with liquid egg yolk to improve texture and physicochemistry. In the context of current global food concerns, which are directed towards product quality, diversification of production and renewal of the product range, the aim is to obtain products with high nutritional value.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	I recommend the following title: Evaluation of Physico-chemical Characteristics of Sponge Cake with Liquid Egg Yolk	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	The abstract should be restructured. It should briefly describe the purpose of the work, the techniques and methods used, the main findings with important data and conclusions;	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	The introduction provides a suitable description of the problem and demonstrates the significance of the research question, although it could be improved by including more scientific detail. The methodology employed is appropriate to the aims of the research. The results are of interest and importance to researchers in relevant fields of the food industry. However, in order to enhance the impact of this study, it would be beneficial to supplement it with other determinations that support its importance and opportunity. Some corrections should be made in order to ensure the article is suitable for publication,	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	The number of references is limited and some corrections need to be made. I recommend the inclusion of a larger number of more recent studies on the subject and their insertion in bibliographic references.	

### Review Form 3

<p><b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>I recommend a carefully check of the full manuscript to correct any grammatical or syntax error.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>However, corrections should be made in order to publish the article. Please, take into account the following recommendations to improve this manuscript:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The general presentation of the manuscript should be reviewed, the extra spaces between words or the lack of spaces between titles, subtitles, table and text according to the requirements of the journal;</li> <li>- I recommend a revision of the manuscript according to the requirements of the journal (English, technical editing, bibliography, etc.);</li> <li>- I recommend a critical approach to the literature to enable analysis and interpretation of the information, development of an objective viewpoint and the setting of clear objectives;</li> <li>- It is recommended that figures be uniformly edited in accordance with the specifications outlined in the log, particularly with regard to font;</li> <li>- The presentation of the results from this study lacks clarity and coherence, and there is a lack of clear reference to other studies with similar results. It is therefore recommended that this issue be subjected to a review;</li> <li>- The theoretical documentation of the study is scientifically limited, includes few sources (materials, reports, publications, etc.) that provide a straightforward treatment of the research topic (a comprehensive review on the comparative nutritional and sensory profile of products with liquid egg);</li> <li>- I recommend that conclusions be formulated highlighting the importance of the results obtained;</li> <li>- I recommend including more recent bibliographic references.</li> </ul> <p>Based on the above mentioned, I recommend this paper for publication after performing the suggested corrections.</p>	

### **PART 2:**

	<p><b>Reviewer's comment</b></p>	<p><b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b></p>
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

<p><b>Name:</b></p>	<p><b>Daniela Stoin</b></p>
<p><b>Department, University &amp; Country</b></p>	<p><b>University of Life Sciences "King Michael I", Romania</b></p>