

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_129528
Title of the Manuscript:	Biochemical and phytochemical characteristics of different cultivated tomato varieties and their juice
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		

Review Form 3

<p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>This is a good piece of work that will enhance the body of knowledge in the juice processing industry as well as the entire food sector.</p> <p>However some areas need improvement.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The title of the research talks about different tomato varieties which gives the impression that there are many varieties that were worked on. The author should use two instead of different and include the names of the varieties (X and Y in italics). 2. The materials and methods section needs to be revisited. Details of how the biochemical and phytochemical analyses were done are missing. They should be included to cater for other readers. 3. In the materials and methods section, results should not be presented. Clearly show the instruments used for analysis with their details (Models, year of manufacture). 4. For the results and discussion section, the results mentioned in the tables should not be mentioned again in the write-out. The author should just refer to the table where the results are. 5. Where the results are significant or highly significant, the author should explain and give probable reasons for the difference to strengthen the discussion. 6. For comparison it is best to present the data using graphs to show variations between the two varieties. 7. The author should make the conclusion more refined to reflect which variety had better biochemical and phytochemical characteristics. 	

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Phoebe Kaddumukasa</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Science, Kyambogo University, Uganda</p>