

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_129145
Title of the Manuscript:	Utilization of Encapsulated Seabuckthorn and Spirulina for the Development of Functional Bread
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is important for further related study on development functional food.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	I don't think so, the title lack the specificity. <i>Effect of blending ratio of Encapsulated Seabuckthorn and Spirulina on physical and proximate composition of wheat based Functional Bread</i>	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Functional should be added under the key word	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The study of proximate composition of the bread is not the nutritional composition of the product. Specific nutrient composition of the product should be done in order to talk about alleviation of malnutrition	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The reference has to be revised according to <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Old references be removed and replaced with recent ones. • Citation from not reputable journal be removed and replaced with reputable 	

Review Form 3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The Technical English Language is good	
Optional/General comments	The Design and Study frame work must be redesigned with correct variables - (Independent variables and dependent variables being clearly described).	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yassin Hassen Umar
Department, University & Country	Hawassa University, Ethiopia