

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSE_128947
Title of the Manuscript:	Exploring the Gendered Digital Divide in Haryana District (India)
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This research is crucial in understanding the gender-specific barriers to ICT access, affordability, and digital skills in Haryana, India, which are key factors influencing socio-economic opportunities. By identifying these gaps, the study provides valuable insights for policymakers to promote digital inclusion and empower women in the region.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes Or the author may modify it into " Bridging the Gap: Unveiling the Gendered Digital Divide in Haryana District, India "	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, however... <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Author may provide 1 sentence describing the pressing issue or problem regarding the focus of the study before the presentation of the objectives of the study. Additionally, for the abstract to become more comprehensive, author may provide discussion on the methods the he or she employed specifying the following: research design, research respondents, research locale, and statistical treatment in summary. Methods summary must be presented prior to the results or findings of the study. After method summary, will be the presentation of the results and findings of the study. 	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes. However, as to purpose of replication to some part, <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The author may add the theoretical and conceptual framework in the introduction, highlights the importance and purpose of the study, explain the research design, sampling generation process, and the data gathering process. Also, provide a discussion and support of relevant and updated literature review to every research finding. 	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, However... <ul style="list-style-type: none"> If the author wishes to adjust and perform some suggestions requested from the above section specifying the discussion and support of literature reviews to every research finding, there will be an addition and adjustment also to the references. 	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The findings of this study provide significant contributions to the existing literature in its area of focus. Additionally, integrating the comments and suggestions mentioned earlier can further improve the study's relevance, maximize its impact on the community, and offer a valuable resource for future researchers exploring similar topics.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Elizer M. Rebucas
Department, University & Country	Montevista National High School, Philippines