

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_128725
Title of the Manuscript:	Response to varying levels and sources of nitrogen on the growth and yield of Barley ( <i>Hordeum vulgare</i> L.)
Type of the Article	Research article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<p>This manuscript explains the importance of nitrogen fertilization for barley plants.</p> <p>It determines the importance of choosing the type of nitrogen fertilizer.</p> <p>It determines the importance of the amount of nitrogen fertilization on the growth and productivity of barley crops.</p>	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	the title of the article suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	the abstract of the article comprehensive	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is scientifically correct, but some references should be added in the introduction.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Some references should be added in the introduction and to the discussion of the results.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<b>The manuscript needs more references.</b>	

#### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hamed Mohamed Gaafar
Department, University & Country	Animal Production Research Institute, Egypt