

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_128323
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Integrated Nutrient Management Approaches on Phenological Development and Yield Components of Wheat ( <i>Triticum aestivum</i> L.)
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is crucial for the scientific community as it explores sustainable nutrient management practices to enhance wheat productivity while preserving soil health. It provides valuable insights into optimizing phenological development and yield through Integrated Nutrient Management, contributing to sustainable agricultural practices.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct as it is based on established principles of Integrated Nutrient Management (INM) and its impact on crop phenology and yield. The study aligns with agronomic research methodologies, emphasizing a balanced approach to nutrient application for sustainable agriculture. It provides accurate and evidence-based insights into improving wheat productivity and soil health, which are consistent with current scientific understanding.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references appear sufficient if they include recent and relevant studies on Integrated Nutrient Management (INM) and its impact on wheat productivity and soil health. However, if the manuscript lacks recent advancements or key studies in this field, it would benefit from adding updated references.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<b>This article needs a core revision.</b>	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Sudesh Devi
Department, University & Country	CCS HAU, India