

Review Form 3

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Experimental Agriculture International |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JEAI_128323 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Evaluation of Integrated Nutrient Management Approaches on Phenological Development and Yield Components of Wheat (<i>Triticum aestivum</i> L.) |
| Type of the Article | |

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|--|---|---|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | The subject of the article is very important for the farmers, but more precise data is steel needed | |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | Yes | |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | yes | |
| Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here. | yes | |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. | yes | |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | Yes | |
| Optional/General comments | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - In my opinion, the article needs to be revised; the analysed traits are quantitative traits, which do not depend solely on fertilization; for such conclusions more data is needed, spanning at least two years; - the attached tables are not discussed, and the statistical differences among the eight treatments for each analyzed agronomic trait are not highlighted; - for what type of soil is this fertilization technology recommended? - it is also necessary to specify what this study brings additionally compared to other similar research | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|--|--|--|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name: | Cristina Mihaela Marinciu |
| Department, University & Country | National Agricultural Research and Development Institute Fundulea, Romania |