

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMCS_128057
Title of the Manuscript:	Fundamental Properties of Generalized n-th Roots of Real Numbers
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lac k of Novel ty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/> Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	In this article, the author tries to generalize his own previous result(s) related to the properties of n th roots of real numbers. We think that the present work is important in particular to the Number Theory community and Mathematics as a whole. Such efforts would definitely shed light on the investigation of fundamental aspects of numbers.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes. But the author should pay his attention to the usage of words. For example, we think it is better to use 'We now address' rather than 'We now tackle'.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes. Technically sound.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention	Yes.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language should be improved. Same symbol is sometimes used for different purposes which affects the readability. Throughout the paper, we see the use of 'x' and 'x' for the same which should be avoided.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nihal Yapage
Department, University & Country	University of Ruhuna, Sri Lanka