

Review Form 3

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JAERI_128305 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Mapping the Literature on Good Agricultural Practices: A Bibliometric Approach Using the Scopus Data |
| Type of the Article | Review Article |

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|--|---|---|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | Manuscript is aimed to explore the span of literature on GAP.A few revisions will make this manuscript strong and worth to refer. | |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | Title is suitable | |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | No suggestions | |
| Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here. | I politely recommend addressing a few major issues, revision of which will make it strong. 1-Research study lacks precise Research Objectives, around which study should be conducted. 2-For data sources multiple sources within are taken including books,letters,notes,short survey and more.Kindly justify and provide sync with research objectives. Please state why and with what purposes above sources are taken. 3-A few papers are included in study for which affiliations are mentioned as "not reported" .Kindly justify the rationale behind including such papers. 4-Rationnale behind taking this time span (1964-2025) 5-"Significant decline of publication in 2025-state rationale behind this statement ? Can this statement be mad. 6-"Total 1732 documents are taken,after removing duplicate 1732 are considered for study".Review this statement | |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. | References section could have been stronger. | |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | A careful revision of spellings and grammar is required. Definitely there is scope to improve language. | |
| <u>Optional/General</u> comments | Corrections/justifications/rationale required. Approach to write paper should be more focused on exact research questions. | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|--|--|--|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Name: | Prachi Srivastava |
| Department, University & Country | CIPET-IPT,Lucknow, India |