

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAERI_128305
Title of the Manuscript:	Mapping the Literature on Good Agricultural Practices: A Bibliometric Approach Using the Scopus Data
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript provides an important contribution to understanding the scope of literature on Good Agricultural Practices (GAP) and evaluating current research trends. The bibliometric analysis using Scopus data serves as a valuable tool to identify the main themes, leading authors, institutions, and geographical trends in GAP research. By highlighting the need for further research on the environmental and socio-economic impacts of GAP, this study could guide future investigations. It offers a crucial foundation for the scientific community to conduct more comprehensive and effective research on GAP.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title of the manuscript is suitable. "Mapping the Literature on Good Agricultural Practices: A Bibliometric Approach Using the Scopus Data" accurately reflects the content of the study. However, the title could be a bit shorter and more concise. An alternative title could be: "Mapping the Literature on Good Agricultural Practices through a Bibliometric Approach". This title more directly expresses the main theme of the research while maintaining its scientific nature.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, the abstract of the article is quite comprehensive. The purpose of the study, the methods used, and the findings are clearly summarized. However, it could be beneficial to briefly highlight some of the key findings in the abstract. For instance, more emphasis could be placed on the gaps in the literature regarding GAP and future research directions. Additionally, a few sentences on the specific contributions of the bibliometric analysis could further emphasize the importance of the study.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct. The study presents a bibliometric approach to reviewing the literature on Good Agricultural Practices (GAP), and the methods used, as well as the findings, are consistent and reliable. The manuscript's key arguments align with the existing literature, and the research highlights significant gaps and future research areas in the field of GAP. Furthermore, the use of the Scopus database and bibliometric analysis methods supports the scientific accuracy of the study.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, the references are generally sufficient and recent. The manuscript is well-supported by key studies and includes important works on Good Agricultural Practices (GAP) from recent years. However, additional references could be included, particularly research on GAP practices in emerging regions. Moreover, citing more recent studies retrieved from the Scopus database could further broaden the scope of the literature reviewed.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the language of the manuscript is generally suitable for scholarly communication. The English used is clear, understandable, and written in an academic style. However, some sentences could be made more fluid and simpler. There are no grammatical errors or semantic issues, but minor improvements could be made in some places to enhance readability.	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript is generally well-written and presents a comprehensive literature review. However, it could benefit from the inclusion of more examples or comparative analyses in certain sections. Specifically, a more detailed examination of the effects of GAP practices in different geographic areas would allow readers to gain a deeper understanding of the topic. Additionally, providing more concrete suggestions for future research could enhance the manuscript's impact.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hakan Acer
Department, University & Country	Karamanoglu Mehmetbey University, Türkiye