

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_128777
Title of the Manuscript:	<i>IN VITRO AND IN VIVO</i> EVALUATION OF ANTIFUNGAL ACTIVITY OF ESSENTIAL PLANT OILS AGAINST FUSARIUM WILT OF SESAME
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<p>The researcher has picked up a research area which is need of the hour for any country for agriculture sector where production affected by bacterial and fungal disease.</p> <p>The parts i think are objectionable have been highlighted .</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In vitro and in vivo in scientific language needs to be italics have corrected in whole text. 2. The running text need to address reference as Elizabeth and her co-workers instead of et al., in brackets its fine but not in running text, do the needful. 3. While working on plants the seed treatment needs to address seed vigour, germination percentage types factors instead of plant height so restrict that. <p>The work has compiled many aspects which need to paragraph separately in results and discussion .</p>	4.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title is absolutely fine depicting what has been researched in manuscript.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	All okay, no alterations required.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes except the points i highlighted manuscript is well incorporated the result and discussion.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	There are only 25 references ,although author could have added recent one as 2025 approaching ,and references are of 2021, 22 Can be added but as length of manuscript restrict page numbers .	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	I have corrected some of the sentences. just don't add this, that grammar world ,these, they notation can't be written here still go through once.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>The research is need of the hour for agri sector .I wish the author to work with more crops, and results need to be reproducible</p> <p>More doses of essential oils can be used further in future, right now enough for this plant of importance. Ask for certificate of dealing level of biosafety.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sunita Khatak
Department, University & Country	Kurukshetra University, India