

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_128754
Title of the Manuscript:	PREVALENCE AND RISK FACTORS OF URINARY SCHISTOSOMIASIS AMONG PRIMARY SCHOOL PUPILS IN NDOKWA-EAST LGA OF DELTA STATE, NIGERIA.
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is a significant contribution to public health research, especially in addressing neglected tropical diseases like urinary schistosomiasis in Nigeria. The study provides valuable data on prevalence, risk factors, and the impact of environmental and behavioral factors, aiding targeted interventions. Its findings are crucial for guiding policymakers, public health professionals, and educators in implementing sustainable control measures and prevention strategies.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title is appropriate and accurately reflects the scope and focus of the study. No changes are necessary.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract provides a clear summary of the study's background, objectives, methodology, results, and conclusions. However, the inclusion of specific statistical values (e.g., chi-square results) would strengthen its comprehensiveness and appeal to a scholarly audience.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is scientifically robust. The methodology is well-described, and the statistical analyses are appropriate. The findings align with the stated objectives, and the discussion is well-supported by existing literature.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are extensive and relevant, with a good mix of recent and foundational studies. To enhance the paper, adding a few more recent references (post-2020) on urinary schistosomiasis control measures in similar settings could provide additional context.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is suitable for scholarly communication but requires minor grammatical corrections and rephrasing for improved readability. For example, restructuring long sentences in the introduction and discussion sections would enhance clarity.	
Optional/General comments	<input type="checkbox"/> The manuscript could benefit from the inclusion of a flowchart summarizing the study's methodology to improve accessibility. <input type="checkbox"/> A brief discussion on how these findings could be translated into actionable public health policies would add value. <input type="checkbox"/> Consider elaborating on the limitations of the study in more detail.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Olumide Ibikunle
Department, University & Country	Vanderbilt University, United States of America