

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_128384
Title of the Manuscript:	Study of soil properties in major cropping systems in SPSR Nellore district, Andhra Pradesh, India.
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

#### **PART 1: Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The present investigation is one of the best in the current scenario. However the following suggestions were necessarily added to improvise and strengthen the work.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	<b>May be</b>	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	<b>Yes</b>	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	Some errors are here	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	Need some more in the discussion part	

**Review Form 3**

<p><b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Ok</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The present investigation is one of the best in the current scenario. However the following suggestions were necessarily added to improvise and strengthen the work.</p> <p>The study merely said that the 100 soil samples were collected and analysis; But it require clarification of How the samples were collected from the field, by digging or by core sampling, etc.</p> <p>How much quantity of soil samples collected and how much depth sampled; say 10-20 cm or like that. It must added some more findings in the study</p> <p>Numerical data obtained in the study should be analysed using standard software like SPSS or any other available software.</p> <p>Add 4-6 key words not sentences</p> <p>No references in the introduction, need rewriting according to the subject</p> <p>Add more supporting references in the discussion part</p> <p>Conduct basic soil analalysis like soil pH, Moisture content etc</p> <p>Avoid soil biological properties, because no such studies were conducted</p> <p>Specify the conclusion part</p>	

**PART 2:**

	<p><b>Reviewer's comment</b></p>	<p><b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Reviewer Details:**

<p>Name:</p>	<p><b>N Ratheesh</b></p>
<p>Department, University &amp; Country</p>	<p><b>University of Kerala, India</b></p>