

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_128997
Title of the Manuscript:	PRELIMINARY STUDY ON THE RODENTICIDAL EFFECT OF PONG-PONG (Cerbera odollam) SEED POWDER AGAINST SPRAGUE-DAWLEY RATS
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Preliminary Study on the Rodenticidal Effect of Pong-Pong (<i>Cerbera odollam</i>) Seed Powder Against Sprague-Dawley Rats is a significant attempt to establish the rodenticidal activity of pong-pong seed powder. The author has clearly defined the objective and conducted a study to achieve it. Given the rat menace highlighted in the introduction, this topic holds substantial importance in drawing the attention of the scientific community to the potential use of pong-pong seed powder as an additive in bait to mitigate rat infestations.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, but the abstract should be structured.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, the article is scientifically correct	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, the references are sufficient, the format of reference in the text should be modified according to the journal format.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the language /English quality is suitable for scholarly communications.	
Optional/General comments	Nil	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ranjith D
Department, University & Country	College of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Pookode, India