

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_128558
Title of the Manuscript:	Gully Category, Slope Position and Soil Depth Studies of 'Acid Sand': Effects on Soil Physical Properties in Akwa Ibom State, Southeastern Nigeria
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is important for scientific community because investigating the effect of soil erosion will help to proffer solution to the problem of soil degradation that adversely affect agricultural productivity and food security.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, however, it is more than 400-word count limit, it is okay, if it is acceptable by the Journal.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes. The manuscript is well written but some typographical errors need to be corrected.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes. All cited references were listed.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	The research is scientifically presented, well written and results well presented. However, there are no recommendation (s) after conclusion.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Khadijat Oyebisi Alabi
Department, University & Country	Kwara State University, Nigeria