

### Review Form 3

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science</a>  |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_AJRCOS_128688   |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Healthyline: Hospital Registration Application With Doctor Appointment Reminders And Real-Time Queue Information |
| Type of the Article      |  |

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/> Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Comments

|  | Reviewer's comment   | Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|--|--|---|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | <p>Explain the urgency, novelty and purpose of the research.</p> <p>Explain the research gap based on previous research</p>                      |   |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)   | the word Healthyline should not be used, because there is no correlation with the topic of research  |   |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.      | As for the structure of writing an abstract, it consists of background, research objectives, research methods, results and conclusions.          |   |
| Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.  | The manuscript written is classified as scientific, it just needs improvement in the preparation of abstracts, background and methodology.       |   |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.                              | for references, including the latest references, it's just that the writing of references needs to be improved consistently in the bibliography. |   |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?  | in the grammar of this research which is made into English is not a problem.   |   |
| <u>Optional/General</u> comments   |  |   |

#### PART 2:

|  | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|--|--|--|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |  |

#### Reviewer Details:

|                                  |                                       |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Name:                            | Ade Oktarino                          |
| Department, University & Country | Adiwangsa Jambi University, Indonesia |