

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_128602
Title of the Manuscript:	Construction and Standardization of the Leadership Effectiveness Scale to Measure the Leadership Effectiveness of Academic Leaders in Universities.
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The article on the compilation of LESALU that has been prepared is sufficient, only that several items still need improvement to strengthen the quality and readability of the article.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	<b>Its suitable and looks a new dimension in LESALU</b>	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	<b>The abstract needs improve, and the conclusion or the result of the research doesn't enough</b>	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	The process scientifically is correct	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	Its needs to improve in the literature review, what the theory use in developing LESALU	
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Its need profreading	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<b>Over all is good but its need some revisions</b>	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<b><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></b>	

**Reviewer Details:**

<b>Name:</b>	<b>Edi Kurniawan</b>
<b>Department, University &amp; Country</b>	<b>Universitas Negeri Semarang, Indonesia</b>