

**Review Form 3**

|                          |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research</a>         |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_JOCAMR_128740  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Community Perceptions of Hypertension Treatment in Vrymans Erven, Berbice, Guyana |
| Type of the Article      | Original Research Article   |

**PART 1: Comments**

|   | Reviewer's comment  | Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|---|---|
| <b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b> | This manuscript offers valuable insights into the integration of traditional and pharmaceutical remedies for managing hypertension in rural settings, emphasizing the role of cultural practices in treatment adherence. Its findings contribute to the development of culturally sensitive healthcare policies, enhancing hypertension management in low- and middle-income countries. |   |
| <b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>   | Bridging Cultural Remedies and Modern Medicine As A Dual Approach to manage Hypertension in Berbice, Guyana"  |   |
| <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>      | Over all Comprehensive and balanced. Clarify the total sample size, briefly note limitations (e.g., sampling biases), highlight practical implications for healthcare in low-resource settings, and streamline repetitive points for clarity.   |   |
| <b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>  | The manuscript is scientifically sound, using qualitative methods to explore community perceptions of hypertension treatment in Guyana. However, it could benefit from more transparency in data analysis and a discussion of potential biases from sampling methods.   |   |
| <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>                              | Yes   |   |
| <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>  | Yes   |   |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments  |   |   |

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 2:**

|   | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>  | <b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| <b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b> | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |   |

#### **Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |   |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name:                            | <b>Asfia Arooje</b>                       |
| Department, University & Country | <b>The University of Chenab, Pakistan</b> |