

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_129202
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of blending custard apple and banana pulp and acidity on quality of nectar
Type of the Article	Research article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	About the scientific community this manuscript provide the efficient method to operate the fruit and need more comparasion with other process using same kind of fruit. The conditions of storage should be more explained	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article is understandable but need to precise the species of fruit used	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The part should be completed by the number of panelists	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	manuscript is scientifically correct but need to correct some sentences and word errors	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Some references need to be updated, please don't cite reference date under 1970	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English quality but need to be improve	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript is scientifically written	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Marius K. Somda
Department, University & Country	University Joseph KI-ZERBO, Burkina Faso