

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_129170
Title of the Manuscript:	Harnessing CRISPR for the Future: Innovations, Applications, and Challenges in Genome Editing
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is on the basis of the modern genome editing tool that is CRISPR. This article states about the CRISPR mechanism, its limitation, how to improve this technology by modification and future scope. Focused on the specific problems and proof of how to overcome those problems. Apart from this, it highlighted applications and challenges of this tool in Genome Editing.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title is perfect	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is short. Please add information about how CRISPR became useful in genome editing. Short method of CRISPR that is differ from TALEN and ZFNs.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, the manuscript format is scientifically correct	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, References are sufficient	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language is complex but readable	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Add some information in introduction part	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Arnab Mandal
Department, University & Country	Vidyasagar University, India