

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Biology &amp; Biotechnology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_128883
Title of the Manuscript:	ANTAGONISTIC EFFECT OF TRICHODERMA AGAINST DIFFERENT PLANT PATHOGENS
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	If the article meets all the requirements established for this type of research, it would be of great importance to the scientific and local community. The antagonism of native <i>Trichoderma</i> strains is a biological strategy of great relevance for the control of plant diseases. These fungal strains act as natural biocontrollers, competing with pathogens, producing antimicrobial substances and stimulating plant defenses. By using <i>Trichoderma</i> , the dependence on chemical fungicides is reduced, promoting a more sustainable and environmentally friendly agriculture.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Antagonistic efficacy of native <i>Trichoderma</i> isolates against agricultural plant pathogens in [region]	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract does not refer to its results.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	I estimate that relevant information (molecular identification, primers) is lacking to meet the requirements to be published <b>The sequences of the oligonucleotides used in the sequencing should be placed. DNA extraction methods</b>	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	are not enough <b>Current classification and identification citations of <i>Trichoderma</i> strains should be used</b>	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English writing can be greatly improved, making what you want to convey more understandable.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Esli Lobaina Lobaina
Department, University & Country	Universidad Técnica Federico Santa María, Chile